

# DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 099 491

CE 002 568

**AUTHOR** Stone, Eugene F.  
**TITLE** Job Scope, Job Satisfaction, and the Protestant Ethic: A Study of Enlisted Men in the U. S. Navy. Technical Report No. 27.  
**INSTITUTION** California Univ., Irvine. Graduate School of Administration.  
**SPONS AGENCY** Office of Naval Research, Washington, D.C. Personnel and Training Research Programs Office.  
**PUB DATE** Jun 74  
**NOTE** 22p.  
**EDRS PRICE** MF-\$0.75 HC-\$1.50 PLUS POSTAGE  
**DESCRIPTORS** Ethics; \*Job Development; \*Job Satisfaction; Middle Class Norm; Middle Class Values; \*Military Personnel; Military Service; \*Protestants; Questionnaires; Work Attitudes  
**IDENTIFIERS** Job Scope; Navy

## ABSTRACT

Questionnaire data were obtained from 149 enlisted men in the United States Navy. The job scope/satisfaction with the work itself relationship was examined for the study's total sample and for subsamples created by grouping individuals on the basis of their belief in the Protestant ethic (middle-class work norms and values). Job scope may be interpreted as the degree to which a job is enriched--providing high variety, autonomy, task identity, and feedback. Satisfaction with "the work itself" reflects the degree to which the level of intrinsic rewards derived from a job may meet or exceed the worker's perceived equitable level of rewards. It was hypothesized and found that the job scope-work satisfaction relationship was positive and significantly different from zero for the study's total sample and each of the three Protestant ethic subsamples. Contrary to one of the study's hypotheses, Protestant ethic did not moderate the job scope-work satisfaction relationship. The study's results were discussed in terms of their implications for theory and practice relating to job design. (Author/EA)

# JOB SCOPE, JOB SATISFACTION, AND THE PROTESTANT ETHIC: A STUDY OF ENLISTED MEN IN THE U. S. NAVY

EUGENE F. STONE

*University of California, Irvine*

Technical Report No. 27

June 1974

## INDIVIDUAL-ORGANIZATIONAL LINKAGES

Project Directors

Robert Dubin

Lyman W. Porter

*University of California  
Irvine, California 92664*

Prepared under ONR Contract N00014-69-A-0200-9001

NR Number 151-315

*Distribution of this document is unlimited.  
Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted  
for any purpose of the United States Government.*

875003  
ERIC  
Full Text Provided by ERIC

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Technical Report No. 27	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO.	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) Job Scope, Job Satisfaction, and the Protestant Ethic: A Study of Enlisted Men in the U. S. Navy		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Technical Report
		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
7. AUTHOR(s) Eugene F. Stone		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) N00014-69-A-0200-9001
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Graduate School of Administration University of California, Irvine Irvine, CA 92664		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR 151-315
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Personnel and Training Research Programs Office of Naval Research (Code 458) Arlington, VA 22217		12. REPORT DATE June 1974
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 14
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		15a. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Distribution of this document is unlimited. Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary; and identify by block number) Job Scope, Protestant Ethic, Job Characteristics, Middle - Class Work Norms, Job Satisfaction, Job Enrichment, Work-related Values.		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Questionnaire data were obtained from 149 enlisted men in the U.S. Navy. The job scope (JS) - satisfaction with the work itself (SWI) relationship was examined for the study's total sample and for subsamples created by grouping individuals on the basis of their belief in the Protestant Ethic (PE). It was hypothesized and found that the JS-SWI relationship was positive and significantly different from zero for the study's total sample and each of the three PE subsamples. Contrary to		

DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473

EDITION OF 1 NOV 65 IS OBSOLETE  
S/N 0102-014-6601

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

one of the study's hypotheses, PE did not moderate the JS-SWI relationship. The study's results were discussed in terms of their implications for theory and practice relating to job design.

UNCLASSIFIED

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE(When Data Entered)

Job Scope, Job Satisfaction, and the Protestant Ethic:

A Study of Enlisted Men in the U. S. Navy

Eugene F. Stone<sup>1</sup>

University of California, Irvine

The extent to which individual differences in work-related values may influence relationships between job characteristics and job satisfaction has been the concern of numerous recent published and unpublished reports (e.g., Blood & Hulin, 1967; Hackman & Lawler, 1971; Hulin & Blood, 1968; Stone, 1974; Stone & Porter, 1973; Turner & Lawrence, 1965; Wanous, 1973; etc.)

In one of these reports (Hulin & Blood, 1968) the position is taken that the relationship between job scope and satisfaction with "the work itself" cannot be assumed to be general, but is instead dependent upon the degree to which workers have internalized the "Protestant Ethic" (i.e., middle-class work norms and values).<sup>2</sup>

In more specific terms, Hulin and Blood (1968) hypothesize that the relationship between job scope and satisfaction with "the work itself" will be positive for workers who have internalized the "Protestant Ethic" (i.e., "integrated" workers) and negative for workers who have not internalized this value system (i.e., "alienated" workers). For workers who are neither integrated with nor alienated from such values (hereinafter referred to as "neutral" workers) Hulin and Blood posit a near zero job scope-satisfaction with "the work itself" relationship (p. 51).

Although more than five years have passed since Hulin and Blood presented their treatise on "job enlargement, individual differences, and worker responses," not a single published report has appeared that deals with a direct test of their theoretical model.

The purpose of the research reported here, therefore, is to directly test the Hulin and Blood model. A direct test of the model requires the measurement of job scope (JS), Protestant Ethic (PE) orientation, and satisfaction with "the work itself" (SWI).

The literature on job characteristics, work-related values, and job attitudes was recently reviewed by Stone (1974). This review revealed that (a) the bulk of empirical research on the subject supports the position that JS is positively related to SWI--irrespective of the degree to which the PE has been internalized, (b) the studies suggesting support for other than a positive relationship have, in general, methodological problems that cast doubt upon their validity, and (c) there is considerable support for the position that work-related values differ among various segments of the working population.

The review led to the following hypotheses:

- H<sub>1</sub>: There will be a positive correlation between JS and SWI for the study's total sample.
- H<sub>2</sub>: There will be a positive correlation ( $r_a$ ) between JS and SWI for the alienated third of the study's sample (i.e., those in the lower third of the distribution of scores on a measure of PE).
- H<sub>3</sub>: There will be a positive correlation ( $r_n$ ) between JS and SWI for the neutral third of the study's sample (i.e., those in the middle third of the distribution of scores on a measure of PE).
- H<sub>4</sub>: There will be a positive correlation ( $r_i$ ) between JS and SWI for the integrated third of the study's sample (i.e., those in the upper third of the distribution of scores on a measure of PE).

$H_5$ : The correlation between JS and SWI for the integrated subsample ( $r_i$ ) will be of greater magnitude and statistically different than that of the alienated subsample ( $r_a$ ).

Data from a study of enlisted personnel in the United States Navy were used to test these hypotheses.

### Method

#### Subjects

Data used in the present study were obtained from enlisted Naval personnel serving either aboard ships (e.g., destroyers, destroyer escorts, aircraft carriers, etc.) or at shore installations. Jobs represented in the sample are: (a) Boatswain's Mate, (b) Boiler Technician, (c) Disbursing Clerk, (d) Electronics Technician, (e) Hospitalman/Corpsman, (f) Hull Technician, (g) Machinist's Mate, (h) Personnel Man, (i) Quartermaster, (j) Storekeeper, (k) Torpedoman, and (l) Yeoman. A total of 149 subjects participated in the study, yielding an average of 12.4 questionnaires per job.

For the sample as a whole, the mean age of subjects was 24.4 years. Mean levels of job and organizational tenure were, respectively, 54.9 and 62.0 months. The "average" subject had slightly more than 12 years of schooling.

#### Instruments

Data were obtained using a self-administered questionnaire with sections that dealt with (a) job characteristics, (b) work-related values, (c) satisfaction with "the work itself," (d) other facets of satisfaction (e.g., pay, supervision, etc.), and (e) demographic data.

Job characteristics. A thirteen item instrument (Stone, 1974, pp. 209-210) was used to measure several different (non-independent) job characteristics:

(a) Variety (V): the extent to which the worker uses different methods, works with different tools or pieces of equipment, varies his pace, and varies his work location; (b) Autonomy (A): the extent to which the worker is able to choose work methods, order of operations, work pace, and tools or pieces of equipment used on the job; (c) Task Identity (TI): the extent to which the worker does a "whole job", i.e., does all that is needed to produce an entire product or provide a complete service; (d) Feedback (F): the degree to which the worker receives information from the task itself and/or others (i.e., customers, co-workers, and supervisors) concerning his performance (in terms of both quantity and quality); (e) Optional Interaction: the degree to which the worker is able to talk to others about non-work matters while working; and (f) Required Interaction: the degree to which the job requires the worker to talk to others during the normal workday.

A job scope (JS) index was formulated using four of the aforementioned characteristics:

$$JS = 2V + 2A + TI + F$$

This definition of JS parallels that used in a similar study involving civilian workers (see Stone, 1974, for the rationale underlying the selection of components and weights for the JS index).<sup>3</sup>

Work-related values. The "Survey of Work Values" instrument (Wollack, Goodale, Wijting, & Smith, 1971) was used to index work-related values in the present study. The instrument measures six aspects of what its developers describe as a "secularized interpretation of [the] Protestant Ethic": (a) Pride in Work (PIW); (b) Job Involvement (JI); (c) Activity Preference (AP); (d) Social Status of the Job (SSJ); (e) Attitude toward Earnings (AE); and (f) Upward Striving (US). (Definitions of each of these constructs are offered in Wollack



et al., 1971). An unweighted linear combination of scores on each of the six scales of the instrument was used to index PE:

$$PE = PIW + JI + AP + SSJ + AE + US$$

This index was based on the assumption that the greater the degree to which a worker simultaneously prefers activity, takes pride in his work, etc., the greater his "overall" degree of belief in the PE value system.

Satisfaction with "the work itself". The Brayfield-Rothe (1951) job satisfaction index was used to measure SWI. For the present study, however, the index's instructions directed the respondent to consider only the "work itself" when responding to the 18 questionnaire items.

Other facets of satisfaction. Five other aspects of satisfaction were each measured with one item scales: (a) satisfaction with pay (SP); (b) satisfaction with promotions (SPr); (c) satisfaction with co-workers (SC); (d) satisfaction with supervision (SS); and (e) satisfaction with working conditions (SWC). (These additional satisfaction measures were used in a number of partial correlational analyses that are discussed at a later point in this paper.)

Demographic data. The final section of the questionnaire was designed to procure data on a number of demographic variables. Included here are such items as age, education, job tenure, organizational tenure, marital status, etc.

### Data Collection

Questionnaires were completed by all subjects in group administrations. The investigator conducted all administrations. In the case of land-based personnel the administrations were conducted in classroom facilities of the various installations. In the case of individuals stationed aboard ships the administrations took place on the various vessels. Administrations took

approximately thirty minutes each and were scheduled during the normal duty hours of respondents.

All subjects participated in the research voluntarily. None of the study's potential respondents refused to participate. All questionnaires were completed anonymously.

### Results

Table 1 shows interrelationships among JS, SWI, PE, etc. for the study's total sample. Consistent with Hypothesis 1, the JS-SWI relationship is

-----

Insert Table 1 About Here

-----

positive and (statistically) significantly different from zero. Hypothesis 1 is, thus, considered confirmed.

The last row in Table 1 shows JS-SWI relationships after the effects of numerous other (possibly confounding) variables have been statistically controlled (via partial correlation). Note that in no instance does the JS-SWI relationship fall below .42. These results suggest that the relationship between JS and SWI is not a function of some third confounding variable. Support for Hypothesis 1 is, as a result, strengthened.<sup>4</sup>

To test Hypotheses 2-4 it was first necessary to create alienated, neutral, and integrated subsamples of workers. In order to do this, all subjects were ranked (from low to high) on the basis of their PE scores. Subjects with ranks of 50 or below were assigned to the alienated subsample (N=52). Individuals with ranks greater than 50 but less than or equal to 100 were placed in the neutral subsample (N=45). Finally, those with ranks of 101 or greater were assigned to the integrated subsample (N=52).<sup>5</sup>

The JS-SWI relationship for the alienated subsample is .42 ( $p < .01$ , one-tailed test). Hypothesis 2 is, thus, considered supported.

The correlation between JS and SWI for the neutral subsample is .51 ( $p < .01$ , one-tailed test). Hypothesis 3 is, as a consequence, considered supported.

The relationship between JS and SWI for the integrated subsample is .37 ( $p < .01$ , one-tailed test). Hypothesis 4 is, therefore, considered supported.

Hypothesis 5 predicts that the JS-SWI relationship for the integrated subsample will statistically differ from (i.e., be greater than) that of the alienated subsample. The two correlations found in the present study for the integrated and alienated subsamples are, respectively, .42 and .37. These two  $r$ 's do not differ from one another statistically ( $\alpha = .10$ , two-tailed test). In addition, contrary to the prediction implicit in Hypothesis 5, the correlation for the alienated subsample is of greater absolute size than that of the integrated subsample. Hypothesis 5, is clearly, not supported by the present study's results.

### Discussion

Results of the present study showed that: (a) the JS-SWI relationship was positive and significantly different from zero for the study's total sample, (b) the JS-SWI relationship was, similarly, positive and significantly different from zero for each of the three PE subsamples, and (c) the JS-SWI correlation coefficients for the alienated and integrated subsamples did not differ from one another in a statistically significant manner.

The first finding (i.e., a positive JS-SWI relationship for the total sample) was not unexpected. Numerous other researches have produced similar findings: positive relationships between job scope or a correlate of it (e.g., organizational level, skill level, job difficulty, etc.) and job satisfaction

or a correlate of it have been demonstrated in studies by Alderfer (1967) Armstrong (1971), Blauner (1964), Centers (1948), Cummings and El Salmi (1970), Fullan (1970), Hackman and Lawler (1971), Porter (1961, 1962), Porter and Mitchell (1967), Shaw (1960), Shepard (1969, 1970, 1973), Stone (1974), Stone and Porter (1973), Svetlik, Prien, and Barrett (1964), Taylor (1967), Trow (1957), Wanous (1973), etc.

The second basic finding (i.e., positive JS-SWI relationships for the alienated, neutral, and integrated PE subsamples) was also not unexpected (see Hypotheses 2-4). The positive JS-SWI relationship for the integrated subsample conforms with the predictions of Hulin and Blood (1968). The positive JS-SWI relationships for the neutral and alienated subsamples, however, are inconsistent with predictions made by Hulin and Blood: they hypothesize a near zero relationship for the neutral subsample and a negative relationship for the alienated subsample. These predictions of Hulin and Blood are, clearly not supported by the present study's data. And, it would appear from the present study's findings and the results of other studies that have been reported to date (e.g., Stone & Porter, 1973; Stone, 1974; Shepard, 1970; etc.) that there is little or no basis for believing that the JS-SWI relationship should be anything but positive -- irrespective of the degree to which the subsample in question subscribes to "middle-class work norms and values."

The study's final finding (i.e., no difference between the JS-SWI correlations for integrated and alienated workers) was not anticipated. Several other researches (e.g., Hackman & Lawler, 1971; Shepard, 1970; Wanous, 1973; etc.) have shown differential scope-satisfaction relationships for subsamples of workers formed on various bases (e.g., "higher order need strength" differences, "Protestant Ethic" differences, etc.). On the other hand, at least one study

(Stone, 1974) showed that Protestant Ethic did not "moderate" the JS-SWI relationship. More research is, obviously, needed on this topic (i.e., "moderators" of the JS-SWI relationship).

Results of the present study's support the position that job enrichment is an appropriate strategy for not only "integrated" workers, but for "neutral" and "alienated" workers as well. Hulin and Blood write that:

...the argument for larger jobs as a means of motivating workers, decreasing boredom and dissatisfaction, and increasing attendance and productivity is valid only when applied to certain segments of the work force--white-collar and supervisory workers and nonalienated blue-collar workers (p. 50).

Given the present study's findings and those of numerous other researches (reviewed by Stone, 1974) the arguments advanced by Hulin and Blood appear to have little support.

## References

- Alderfer, C. P. An organizational syndrome. Administrative Science Quarterly, 1967, 12, 440-460.
- Armstrong, T. B. Job content and context factors related to satisfaction for different occupational levels. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1971, 55, 57-65.
- Blauner, R. Alienation and freedom: The factory worker and his industry. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1964.
- Blood, M. R., & Hulin C. L. Alienation, environmental characteristics, and worker responses. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1967, 51, 284-290.
- Brayfield, A. H., & Rothe, H. F. An index of job satisfaction. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1951, 35, 307-311.
- Centers, R. Motivational aspects of occupational stratification. Journal of Social Psychology, 1948, 28, 187-217.
- Cummings, L. L. & ElSalmi, A. M. The impact of role diversity, job level, and organizational size on managerial satisfaction. Administrative Science Quarterly, 1970, 15, 1-10.
- Fullan, M. Industrial technology and worker integration in the organization. American Sociological Review 1970, 35, 1028-1039.
- Hackman, J. R., & Lawler, E. E. Employee reactions to job characteristics. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1971, 55, 259-286.
- Hulin, C. L., & Blood M. R. Job enlargement, individual differences, and worker responses. Psychological Bulletin, 1968, 69, 41-55.
- Lofquist, L. H., & Dawis, R. V. Adjustment to work: A psychological view of man's problems in a work-oriented society. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1969.

- Porter, L. W. A study of perceived need satisfactions in bottom and middle management jobs. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1961, 45, 1-10.
- Porter, L. W. Job attitudes in management: I. Perceived deficiencies in need fulfillment as a function of job level. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1962, 46, 375-384.
- Porter, L. W., & Lawler, E. E. Managerial attitudes and performance. Homewood, Illinois: Richard D. Irwin, 1968.
- Porter, L. W., & Mitchell, V. F. Comparative need satisfactions in military and business hierarchies. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1967, 51, 139-144.
- Shaw, D. M. Size of share in task and motivation in work groups. Sociometry, 1960, 23, 203-208.
- Shepard, J. M. Functional specialization and work attitudes. Industrial Relations, 1969, 8, 185-194.
- Shepard, J. M. Functional specialization, alienation, and job satisfaction. Industrial and Labor Relations Review, 1970, 23, 207-219.
- Shepard, J. M. Reply. Industrial and Labor Relations Review, 1973, 26, 856-859.
- Smith, P. C., Kendall, L. M., & Hulin, C. L. Measurement of satisfaction in work and retirement. New York: Rand McNally, 1969.
- Stone, E. F. The moderating effect of work-related values on the job scope-job satisfaction relationship. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of California, Irvine, 1974.
- Stone, E. F., & Porter, L. W. Job scope and job satisfaction: A study of urban workers. Technical Report No. 22, November, 1973, University of California, Irvine. Contract N00014-69-A-0200-9001 NR 151-315, Office of Naval Research.

- Svetlik, B., Prien, E., & Barrett, G. Relationships between job difficulty, employee's attitudes toward his job, and supervisory ratings of the employee effectiveness. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1964, 48, 320-324.
- Taylor, S. A. An investigation of the behavioral correlates of performance in a repetitive task. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Indiana University, 1967. Cited by W. E. Scott, The behavioral consequences of repetitive task design: Research and theory. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, 1967.
- Trow, D. B. Autonomy and job satisfaction in task-oriented groups. Journal of Abnormal and Social Psychology, 1957, 54, 204-209.
- Turner, A. N., & Lawrence, P. R. Industrial jobs and the worker: An investigation of response to task attributes. Boston: Harvard University Press, 1965.
- Wanous, J. P. The role of individual differences in human reactions to job characteristics. Unpublished manuscript, New York University, July 1973.
- Wollack, S., Goodale, J. G., Wijting, J. P., & Smith, P. C. Development of the survey of work values. Journal of Applied Psychology, 1971, 55, 331-338.



## Footnotes

<sup>1</sup>This research was supported by a grant from the Graduate Division, University of California, Irvine and by funds made available through the Office of Naval Research (ONR Contract N00014-69-A-0200-9001 NR 151-315).

The author is indebted to Robert F. Lawson of the Office of Naval Research, Pasadena, California, for securing the present study's sample.

The author is grateful to Lyman W. Porter, Robert Dubin, and Richard Mowday for comments offered on an earlier version of this report.

<sup>2</sup>Job scope may be looked upon as the degree to which a job is enriched, i.e., has high variety, autonomy, task identity, and feedback (Hackman & Lawler, 1971; Stone, 1974; Stone & Porter, 1973). Satisfaction with "the work itself" reflects the degree to which the level of intrinsic rewards derived from a job meets or exceeds the worker's perceived equitable level of rewards (Porter & Lawler, 1968; Smith, Kendall, & Hulin, 1969; Lofquist & Dawis, 1969).

<sup>3</sup>A similar definition of job scope has been suggested by Turner and Lawrence (1965).

<sup>4</sup>Within-job analyses showed that the greater the degree to which the PE has been internalized, the greater the level of SWI. This finding obtained for 8 of 9 job groups for which the sample size was large enough to allow for trichotomization on PE scores and subsequent comparison of SWI levels for the alienated and integrated subsamples. For the sample as a whole, PE correlated .43 ( $p < .01$ ) with SWI.

<sup>5</sup>Means and standard deviations (shown in parentheses) on the PE index for the three subsamples are 240.94 (8.35) for the integrated subsample, 223.49 (4.40) for the neutral subsample, and 203.52 (10.21) for the alienated subsample.

Table 1

## Interrelationships Among Studied Variables

Variable	JS	PE	SWI	SP	SPr	SC	SS	SWC	A	E	JT	OT
Job Scope (JS)	---	32**	50**	19*	30**	27**	30**	20*	26**	-16*	28**	27**
Protestant Ethic (PE)		---	43**	18*	18*	23**	22**	14	35**	-10	28*	33**
Satisfaction with Work Itself (SWI)			---	31**	35**	36**	49**	44**	32**	-12	30**	32**
Satisfaction with Pay (SP)				---	33**	25**	36**	24**	36**	08	24**	34**
Satisfaction with Promotion (SPr)					---	21**	35**	28**	14	-09	13	18*
Satisfaction with Co-workers (SC)						---	42**	28**	08	21**	01	05
Satisfaction with Supervision (SS)							---	34**	26**	10	17*	23**
Satisfaction with Work Cond. (SWC)								---	-02	03	-05	-02
Age (A)									---	-02	87**	94**
Education (E)										---	-17*	-17*
Job Tenure (JT)											---	92**
Organizational Tenure (OT)												---
JS-SWI Partial r's	---	42**	---	47**	44**	45**	42**	47**	46**	49**	45**	45**

14.

\*  $p < .05$  (two-tailed test)      \*\*  $p < .01$  (two-tailed test)<sup>a</sup>  $N = 149$  for all correlation coefficients

## Distribution List

### Navy

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1 Dr. Marshall J. Farr, Director<br>Personnel and Training Research Programs<br>Office of Naval Research (Code 458)<br>Arlington, VA 22217 | 1 Dr. Harold R. Booher<br>Naval Air Systems Command<br>NAVAIR 04A4<br>Washington, DC 20361   |
| 1 ONR Branch Office<br>495 Summer Street<br>Boston, MA 02210<br>ATTN: Research Psychologist  | 1 Dr. Lee Miller<br>Naval Air Systems Command<br>AIR-413E<br>Washington, DC 20361  |
| 1 ONR Branch Office<br>1030 East Green Street<br>Pasadena, CA 91101<br>ATTN: E. E. Gloye   | 1 CAPT John F. Riley, USN<br>Commanding Officer<br>U.S. Naval Amphibious School<br>Coronado, CA 92155  |
| 1 ONR Branch Office<br>536 South Clark Street<br>Chicago, IL 60605<br>ATTN: M. A. Bertin   | 1 CAPT Ouida C. Upchurch, USN<br>Program Coordinator<br>Bureau of Medicine & Surgery<br>(Code 71G)<br>Washington, DC 20372                   |
| 6 Director<br>Naval Research Laboratory<br>Code 2627<br>Washington, DC 20390   | 1 Chief<br>Bureau of Medicine & Surgery<br>Research Division (Code 713)<br>Washington, DC 20372  |
| 12 Defense Documentation Center<br>Cameron Station, Building 5<br>5010 Duke Street<br>Alexandria, VA 22314                                 | 1 Chairman<br>Behavioral Science Department<br>Naval Command & Management Division<br>U.S. Naval Academy<br>Luce Hall<br>Annapolis, MD 21402 |
| 1 Special Assistant For Manpower<br>OASN (M&RA)<br>Pentagon, Room 4E794<br>Washington, DC 20350  | 1 Chief of Naval Education & Training<br>Naval Air Station<br>Pensacola, FL 32508<br>ATTN: CAPT. Bruce Stone, USN                            |
| 1 LCDR Charles, J. Theisen, Jr.<br>MSC, USN<br>4024<br>Naval Air Development Center<br>Warminster, PA 18974                                | 1 Mr. Arnold Rubinstein<br>Naval Material Command (NAVMAT 03424)<br>Room 820, Crystal Plaza #6<br>Washington, DC 20360                       |
| 1 Chief of Naval Reserve<br>Code 3055<br>New Orleans, LA 70146   |  |

- 1 Commanding Officer  
Naval Medical Neuropsychiatric  
Research Unit  
San Diego, CA 92152
  - 1 Director, Navy Occupational Task  
Analysis Program (NOTAP)  
Navy Personnel Program Support  
Activity  
Building 1304, Bolling AFB  
Washington, DC 20336
  - 1 Dr. Richard J. Niehaus  
Office of Civilian Manpower Management  
Code 06A  
Washington, DC 20390
  - 1 Department of the Navy  
Office of Civilian Manpower Management  
Code 263  
Washington, DC 20390
  - 1 Dr. John J. Collins  
Chief of Naval Operations (OP-987E)  
Department of the Navy  
Washington, DC 20350
  - 1 Superintendent  
Naval Postgraduate School  
Monterey, CA 93940  
ATTN: Library (Code 2124)
  - 1 Commander, Navy Recruiting Command  
4015 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22203  
ATTN: Code 015
  - 1 Mr. George N. Graine  
Naval Ship Systems Command  
SHIPS 047C12  
Washington, DC 20362
  - 1 Chief of Naval Technical Training  
Naval Air Station Memphis (75)  
Millington, TN 38054  
ATTN: Dr. Norman J. Kerr
  - 1 Dr. William L. Maloy  
Principal Civilian Advisor for  
Education and Training  
Naval Training Command, Code 01A  
Pensacola, FL 32508
  - 1 Dr. Alfred F. Smode, Staff Consultant  
Training Analysis & Evaluation Group  
Naval Training Equipment Center  
Code N-00T  
Orlando, FL 32813
  - 1 Dr. Hanns H. Wolff  
Technical Director (Code N-2)  
Naval Training Equipment Center  
Orlando, FL 32813
  - 1 Chief of Naval Training Support  
Code N-21  
Building 45  
Naval Air Station  
Pensacola, FL 32508
  - 1 CDR Richard L. Martin, USN  
Fighter Squadron 124  
NAS Miramar, CA 92145
  - 1 Mr. E. Ramras  
Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152
  - 5 Navy Personnel R&D Center  
San Diego, CA 92152  
ATTN: Code 10
  - 1 Dr. M. Wiskoff  
NPRDC  
San Diego, CA 92152
- ARMY
- 1 Headquarters  
U.S. Army Administration Center  
Personnel Administration Combat  
Development Activity  
ATCP-HRO  
Ft. Benjamin Harrison, IN 46249
  - 1 Director of Research  
U.S. Army Armor Human Research Unit  
Building 2422 Morade Street  
Fort Knox, KY 40121  
ATTN: Library
  - 1 Commandant  
United States Army Infantry School  
ATTN: ATSH-DET  
Fort Benning, GA 31905

1 Deputy Commander  
U.S. Army Institute of Administration  
Fort Benjamin Harrison, IN 46216  
ATTN: EA

1 Dr. Stanley L. Cohen  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
1300 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

1 Dr. Ralph Dusek  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
1300 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

1 Mr. Edmund F. Fuchs  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
1300 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

1 Dr. J.E. Uhlaner, Technical Director  
U.S. Army Research Institute  
1300 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

1 HQ USAREUR & 7th Army  
ODCSOPS  
USAREUR Director of GED  
APO New York 09403

#### Air Force

1 Research Branch (AF/DPXYR)  
Pentagon, Room 5C428  
Washington, DC 20330

1 AFHRL/DOJN  
Stop #63  
Lackland AFB, TX 78236

1 Dr. Martin Rockway (AFHRL/TT)  
Lowry AFB  
Colorado 80230

1 AFOSR/NL  
1400 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

1 Commandant  
USAF School of Aerospace Medicine  
Aeromedical Library (SUL-4)  
Brooks AFB, TX 78235

#### Marine Corps

1 Mr. E.A. Dover  
Manpower Measurement Unit (Code MPI)  
Arlington Annex, Room 2413  
Arlington, VA 20380

1 Commandant of the Marine Corps  
Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps  
Code MPI-20  
Washington, DC 20380

1 Director, Office of Manpower  
Utilization  
Headquarters, Marine Corps (Code MPU)  
MCB (Building 2009)  
Quantico, VA 22134

1 Dr. A.L. Slafkosky  
Scientific Advisor (Code RD-1)  
Headquarters, U.S. Marine Corps  
Washington, DC 20380

#### Coast Guard

1 Mr. Joseph J. Cowan, Chief  
Psychological Research Branch  
(G-P-1/62)  
U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters  
Washington, DC 20590

#### Other DOD

1 Lt. Col. Henry L. Taylor, USAF  
Military Assistant for Human Resources  
OAD (E&LS) ODDR&E  
Pentagon, Room 3D129  
Washington, DC 20301

1 Mr. ThomasC. O'Sullivan  
Advanced Research Projects Agency  
Human Resources Research Office  
1400 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

1 Helga L. Yeich  
Advanced Research Projects Agency  
Manpower Management Office  
1400 Wilson Boulevard  
Arlington, VA 22209

### Other Government

- 1 Dr. Lorraine D. Eyde  
Personnel Research and Development  
Center  
U.S. Civil Service Commission  
1900 E. Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20415
- 1 Dr. William Gorham, Director  
Personnel Research and Development  
Center  
U.S. Civil Service Commission  
1900 E. Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20415
- 1 Dr. Vern Urry  
Personnel Research and Development  
Center  
U.S. Civil Service Commission  
1900 E. Street, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20415

### Miscellaneous

- 1 Dr. Richard C. Atkinson  
Stanford University  
Department of Psychology  
Stanford, CA 94305
- 1 Dr. Gerald V. Barrett  
University of Akron  
Department of Psychology  
Akron, OH 44325
- 1 Dr. Bernard M. Bass  
University of Rochester  
Management Research Center  
Rochester, NY 14627
- 1 Mr. Kenneth M. Bromberg  
Manager - Washington Operations  
Information Concepts, Inc.  
1701 North Fort Myer Drive  
Arlington, VA 22209
- 1 Century Research Corporation  
4113 Lee Highway  
Arlington, VA 22207

- 1 Dr. Kenneth E. Clark  
University of Rochester  
College of Arts & Sciences  
River Campus Station  
Rochester, NY 14627
- 1 Dr. H. Peter Dachler  
University of Maryland  
Department of Psychology  
College Park, MD 20742
- 1 Dr. Rene' V. Dawis  
University of Minnesota  
Department of Psychology  
Minneapolis, MN 55455
- 1 Dr. Marvin D. Dunnette  
University of Minnesota  
Department of Psychology  
Minneapolis, MN 55455
- 1 ERIC  
Processing and Reference Facility  
4833 Rugby Avenue  
Bethesda, MD 20014
- 1 Dr. Victor Fields  
Montgomery College  
Department of Psychology  
Rockville, MD 20850
- 1 Dr. Edwin A. Fleishman  
American Institutes for Research  
Foxhall Square  
3301 New Mexico Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20016
- 1 Dr. M. D. Havron  
Human Sciences Research, Inc.  
7710 Old Spring House Road  
West Gate Industrial Park  
McLean, VA 22101
- 1 HumRRO  
Division No. 3  
P. O. Box 5787  
Presidio of Monterey, CA 93940
- 1 HumRRO  
Division No. 4, Infantry  
P.O. Box 2086  
Fort Benning, GA 31905

- 1 HumKRO  
Division No. 5, Air Defense  
P.O. Box 6057  
Fort Bliss, TX
- 1 HumKRO  
Division No. 6, Library  
P.O. Box 428  
Fort Rucker, IL 46360
- 1 Dr. Lawrence B. Johnson  
Lawrence Johnson & Associates, Inc.  
200 S. Street, N.W., Suite 502  
Washington, DC 20009
- 1 Dr. Ernest J. McCormick  
Purdue University  
Department of Psychological Sciences  
Lafayette, IN 47907
- 1 Dr. Robert R. Mackie  
Human Factors Research, Inc.  
6780 Cortona Drive  
Santa Barbara Research Park  
Goleta, CA 93017
- 1 Mr. Edmond Marks  
405 Old Main  
Pennsylvania State University  
University Park, PA 16802
- 1 Mr. Luigi Petruccio  
2431 North Edgewood Street  
Arlington, VA 22207
- 1 Dr. Diane M. Ramsey-Klee  
R-K Research & System Design  
3947 Ridgemont Drive  
Malibu, CA 90265
- 1 Dr. Joseph W. Rigney  
University of Southern California  
Behavioral Technology Laboratories  
3717 South Grand  
Los Angeles, CA 90007
- 1 Dr. Leonard L. Rosenbaum, Chairman  
Montgomery College  
Department of Psychology  
Rockville, MD 20850
- 1 Dr. George E. Rowland  
Rowland and Company, Inc.  
P.O. Box 61  
Haddonfield, NJ 08033
- 1 Dr. Arthur I. Siegel  
Applied Psychological Services  
404 East Lancaster Avenue  
Wayne, PA 19087
- 1 Dr. C. Harold Stone  
1428 Virginia Avenue  
Glendale, CA 91202
- 1 Dr. David J. Weiss  
University of Minnesota  
Department of Psychology  
Minneapolis, MN 55455